

## YOUTH HOMELESSNESS DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM: TX-600 APPLICATION SCORECARD

### Scoring Procedure

Applications containing all required items and submitted by the deadline will be reviewed and scored based on the selection criteria outlined below.

Agency Experience: Working with Youth		
Q1. Describe your organization's experience working with youth and young adults ages 18 - 24.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates experience working with youth and young adults ages 18-24.	10	
Applicant demonstrates limited experience working with youth and young adults ages 18-24.	5	
No details provided.	0	
Q2. Describe existing opportunities for youth collaboration, including specific examples of how that collaboration has been implemented into current programming.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates how collaboration with youth is used in current programming.	5	
Applicant demonstrates limited collaboration with youth in current program.	3	
No clear collaboration demonstrated.	0	
Program Design		
Q3. Describe how program participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant clearly demonstrates their ability to ensure program participants will obtain and remain in permanent housing. The applicant provides a detailed strategy of how they assess participant needs and the supportive services offered to ensure stability.	5	
The applicant demonstrates a limited ability and strategy to ensure program participants obtain and remain in permanent housing.	3	
No clear strategy demonstrated.	0	

Q4. How does the project help the community meet the shared vision, goals, and objectives of the Coordinated Community Plan (CCP)?		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant provides detailed explanation of how the program will support the Coordinated Community Plan.	5	
Applicant provides some detail on how the program will support the Coordinated Community Plan.	3	
Applicant provides no detail supporting the Coordinated Community Plan.	0	
Q5. Outline leveraging opportunities the program will utilize to provide non-HUD funded services.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant details existing resources and/or services that will benefit program participants.	5	
The applicant provides little detail on how non-HUD funded services will be provided.	3	
No non-HUD funded opportunities are identified.	0	
Q6. Specify how this project will incorporate principles of Positive Youth Development (PYD).		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant shows a strong understanding of positive youth development by outlining clear strategies and activities that promote PYD principles. Applicant includes details on how they will measure success of implementing PYD.	5	
The applicant shows minimal understanding of PYD principles or is unclear in how they will measure success of implementing PYD.	3	
Applicant provides no detail regarding their understanding of PYD.	0	
Q7. Specify how this project will incorporate the principles of Trauma Informed Care.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant shows a strong understanding of Trauma Informed Care by outlining clear strategies and activities to incorporate Trauma Informed Care principles into service delivery.	10	
The applicant shows minimal understanding of Trauma Informed Care or has no clear strategies for incorporating into service delivery.	5	

Applicant shows no understanding of Trauma Informed Care.	0	
<p>Q8. Describe how the program will align to a Housing First approach to housing and services. Include specific details about how the program will ensure there are:</p> <p>a. No preconditions for program entry</p> <p>b. No mandatory activities before and/or after program entry (i.e. required treatment, employment, etc.)</p>		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes how policies and practices will ensure that their agency will align with Housing First Principles.	10	
Applicant partially describes how policies and practices will ensure that their agency will align with Housing First Principles.	5	
No clear description.	0	
<p>Q9. How will the program work with the Youth Action Board (YAB) during project implementation?</p>		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant clearly demonstrates their commitment to involving the YAB in decision making, planning, and evaluation of the program. The applicant demonstrates how the YAB's input will be gathered and used in a meaningful way.	10	
The applicant demonstrates commitment, with little detail on how they will integrate the YAB into decision making, planning, and evaluation of the program.	5	
No clear commitment to working with the YAB for project implementation.	0	
<p>Q10. How will the program work with the Youth Action Board (YAB) to develop and implement a Continuous Quality Improvement plan?</p>		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant clearly demonstrates their commitment to and strategy for involving the YAB to develop and implement a Continuous Quality Improvement Plan.	10	
The applicant demonstrates a commitment, with unclear strategy for involving the YAB to develop and implement a Continuous Quality Improvement Plan.	5	
No clear commitment to working with the YAB for Continuous Quality Improvement Planning.	0	

**Promoting Equity and Inclusion**

Q11. Describe the racial composition of people or households expected to benefit from your proposed grant activities, including a description of how you analyzed the local population to determine this.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant provides clear description of the local homeless population and how households of different racial compositions will benefit from the program activities.	10	
Applicant provides some detail of how households of different racial compositions will benefit from the program activities.	5	
No clear description.	0	

Q12. Identify at least one barrier to persons or communities of color equitably benefitting from your proposed grant activities. Detail the steps you will take to prevent, reduce, or eliminate these barriers.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly defines how they will prevent, reduce, or eliminate at least one identified barrier to persons of color in their program.	10	
Applicant partially defines how they will prevent, reduce, or eliminate at least one identified barrier to persons of color in their program.	5	
No clear description.	0	

Q13. Describe the measures in place to track progress and evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to advance racial equity through grant activities.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant fully details their strategy to track and evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to advance racial equity in their grant activities.	5	
Applicant partially details their strategy to track and evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to advance racial equity in their grant activities.	3	
No clear description.	0	

Q14. Describe specific efforts to ensure BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and people with disabilities experiencing homelessness will be connected to housing after the assistance has expired.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
--------------------	--------------------	---------------

Applicant clearly describes the strategy used to ensure BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and people with disabilities will be connected to housing after the assistance has expired.	5	
Applicant partially describes the strategy used to ensure BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and people with disabilities will be connected to housing after the assistance has expired.	3	
No clear description	0	
Q15. Describe how your board and staff reflect the population the program will serve. Attach Board and Staff Composition.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes how the board and staff reflect the population of the program and/or how the applicant commits to ensuring equity in decision-making and implementation of the program.	5	
Applicant partially describes how the board and staff reflect the population of the program and/or how the applicant commits to ensuring equity in decision-making and implementation of the program.	3	
No clear description.	0	
Q16. Attach proposed budget, utilizing the template provided by Housing Forward		
Budgets Not Scored		
<b>Total Points Available (All Programs)</b>	<b>110</b>	

## Diversion

Agency Experience: Supportive Services Only: Diversion		
Q17. Outline your experience diverting individuals to housing, alternative to entering shelter or unsheltered homelessness. Include the number of individuals the organization has diverted in the past year.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates experience diverting individuals to housing.	5	
Applicant demonstrates limited experience diverting individuals to housing.	3	
No details provided.	0	
Q18. Provide the organization's approach to training and coaching staff to ensure they are proficient in critical Diversion skills (mediation, conflict resolution, housing problem-solving, active and empathetic listening, and strengths-based service delivery, etc.)		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant clearly describes the approach to training and coaching on critical Diversion skills.	5	
Applicant partially describes the approach to training and coaching on critical Diversion skills.	3	
No clear description.	0	
Program Design: Diversion		
Q19. Detail how the program will identify client needs and support to immediately find alternative housing options and avoid entering shelter or unsheltered homelessness.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates comprehensive plan to support households in finding immediate alternative housing with the support program staff.	10	
Applicant demonstrates limited plan to support households in finding immediate alternative housing with the support of program staff.	5	
No clear plan or strategy.	0	
<b>Total Points Available (Diversion Specific)</b>	<b>20</b>	

## Emergency Transitional Housing

Agency Experience: Emergency Transitional Housing		
Q17. Outline your experience providing short-term, temporary lodging for individuals.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly exhibits experience in providing short-term, temporary lodging.	5	
Applicant exhibits limited experience in providing short-term, temporary lodging.	3	
Applicant exhibits no experience.	0	
Q18. Describe your experience in providing short-term, housing-focused case management services.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates experience providing short-term, housing-focused case management services.	5	
Applicant demonstrates limited experience in providing short-term, housing-focused case management services.	3	
Applicant demonstrates no experience.	0	
Q19. Provide your organization's approach to training and coaching staff to ensure they are proficient in housing-focused case management skills.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	5	
Applicant partially describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	3	
No clear description.	0	
Program Design: Emergency Transitional Housing		
Q20. Will the program utilize existing non-congregate facility space? If yes, describe the existing space. If no, please outline your plan on obtaining non-congregate space.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes their existing non-congregate facility space OR clearly outlines a plan to obtain space.	5	

Applicant partially describes existing non-congregate facility space or partially outlines a plan to obtain space.	5	
No clear description or plan for non-congregate facility space.	0	
Q21. How will the program ensure participants' safety and well-being immediately upon entering emergency transitional housing?		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant details security measures in place for program participants, low-barrier access process, and immediate services made available to program participants for their safety and well-being.	5	
The applicant partially details security measures in place for program participants, low-barrier access process, and immediate services made available to program participants for their safety and well-being.	3	
No details provided.	0	
Q22. Detail the services that will be offered to program participants while residing in the program's non-congregate transitional lodging.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
The applicant fully describes the services offered to program participants while residing in the program's non-congregate transitional lodging.	10	
The applicant partially describes the services offered to program participants while residing in the program's non-congregate transitional lodging.	5	
No details provided.	0	
<b>Total Points Available (Emergency Transitional Housing Specific)</b>	<b>35</b>	



## Rapid Rehousing

Agency Experience: Rapid Rehousing		
Q17. Describe the organization's experience providing case management services to individuals in rapid rehousing programs. Please include a description of the case management services and the organization's overall philosophy toward delivering case management services.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates experience providing Rapid Rehousing services and provides clear description of the philosophy toward delivering case management services.	5	
Applicant demonstrates limited experience providing Rapid Rehousing services and provides limited description of their philosophy toward delivering case management services.	3	
No clear description or demonstration of experience.	0	
Q18. Provide your organization's approach to training and coaching staff to ensure they are proficient in housing-focused case management skills.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	5	
Applicant partially describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	3	
No clear description.	0	
Program Design: Rapid Rehousing		
Q19. Describe how the organization will ensure that the program services are delivered in alignment with the community's RRH Program Model.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes how services will be delivered in alignment with the Rapid Rehousing Program Model.	10	
Applicant partially describes how services will be delivered in alignment with the Rapid Rehousing Program Model.	5	
No clear description.	0	
Q20. Detail how the program will support client-choice in housing.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score

Applicant provides detailed strategy for ensuring client-choice in housing.	5	
Applicant provides limited details in their strategy for ensuring client-choice in housing.	3	
No strategy detailed.	0	
Q21. Explain how the program will approach stability planning with youth to ensure a positive exit, remaining in permanent housing.		
Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant provides detailed strategy to stability planning to ensure program participants remain in permanent housing.	10	
Applicant provides limited details in their strategy to stability planning to ensure program participants remain in permanent housing.	5	
No strategy detailed.	0	
<b>Total Points Available (Rapid Rehousing Specific)</b>	<b>35</b>	

## Permanent Supportive Housing

### Agency Experience: Permanent Supportive Housing

Q17. Describe the organization's experience providing case management services to individuals in permanent supportive housing programs. Please include a description of the case management services and the organization's overall philosophy toward delivering case management services.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly demonstrates experience providing Permanent Supportive Services and provides clear description of the philosophy toward delivering case management services.	5	
Applicant demonstrates limited experience providing Permanent Supportive Services and provides limited description of their philosophy toward delivering case management services.	3	
No clear description or demonstration of experience.	0	

Q18. Provide your organization's approach to training and coaching staff to ensure they are proficient in housing-focused case management skills.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	5	
Applicant partially describes the approach to training and coaching on housing case management.	3	
No clear description.	0	

### Program Design: Permanent Supportive Housing

Q19. Describe how the organization will ensure that the program services are delivered in alignment with the community's PSH Program Model.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score
Applicant clearly describes how services will be delivered in alignment with the Permanent Supportive Housing Program Model.	10	
Applicant partially describes how services will be delivered in alignment with the Permanent Supportive Housing Program Model.	5	
No clear description.	0	

Q20. Detail how the program will support client-choice in housing.

Point Distribution	Max Possible Score	Project Score

Applicant provides detailed strategy for ensuring client-choice in housing.	5	
Applicant provides limited details in their strategy for ensuring client-choice in housing.	3	
No strategy detailed.	0	
<b>Total Points Available (Permanent Supportive Housing Specific)</b>	<b>25</b>	