



FY 2022 Unsheltered NOFO New Project Scorecard

The results of the FY 2022 Unsheltered NOFO New Project Scorecard will be used by the Independent Review Committee (IRC) as a baseline evaluation and ranking tool for CoC Program allocation decisions. The resulting score will be used in conjunction with FY 2022 Ranking Policy to prioritize projects included in the final CoC Consolidated Application and Priority Listing to HUD.

Scoring Matrix

The Scorecard aligns with the questions outlined under each of the scoring objectives:

- Effective Program Design
- Financial Management Capacity
- System Performance Improvement
- Timeliness
- Promoting Equity and Inclusion
- Coordination

Scoring Procedure

There are a total of 90 points available for projects applying for new funding through the Unsheltered NOFO. For Tenant Based Voucher PSH Project Applications there are a total of 85 points available. Each question includes a score distribution, where projects can earn up to the possible score. For example, in Q1. projects can earn 0 to 3 points for demonstrating minimal experience, or 3 to 5 points for demonstrating significant experience.

Effective Program Design		
Q1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant provides full detailed scope of proposed project	5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant provided minimal detailed scope of proposed project.	3	
No details provided	0	
Q2. Summarize how the type of housing and services proposed (with COC and other funding sources) will help program participants quickly obtain and retain permanent housing.		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates plan for meeting the needs of program participants.	5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant demonstrates limited plan for meeting the needs of program participant.	3	
No clear plan.	0	
Q3. Describe how eligible program participants will be assisted to obtain benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs.		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates plan for meeting the needs of the target population.	5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant demonstrates limited plan for meeting the needs of the target population.	3	
No clear plan.	0	

Q4. Explain how the project will utilize a Housing First Approach.			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates comprehensive plan for utilizing a Housing First approach		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant demonstrates limited plan for utilizing a Housing First approach		3	
No clear plan.		0	
Financial Management Capacity			
Q5. Describe the organization's experience in effectively administering federal funds or other public or private funding			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Agency clearly demonstrates significant experience.		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Agency demonstrates minimal experience.		3	
No experience.		0	
Q6. Does the most recent agency audit demonstrate there were no unresolved material findings?. (Agency audit, management letter, and response to management letter are attached)			
	Yes	5	
	No	0	
System Performance Improvement			
Q7. Describe how the project will measure effectiveness and contribute to improvements in the CoC's overall system performance.			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates process for evaluating effectiveness and significant contributions to system performance.		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant demonstrates limited process for evaluating effectiveness and minimal contributions to system performance.		3	
No clear evaluation process or contributions to system performance.		0	
Q8. Provide justification for project costs and cost effectiveness. (Tenant Based Voucher PSH Project Applicants Do NOT Answer This Question)			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates justification for project costs.		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant provides limited justification for project costs.		3	
Project costs are not justified.		0	
Q9. Describe the experience of the applicant in assisting households to become stably housed and effectively carrying out the activities proposed.			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates how they assist households to become stably housed and effectively carrying out the activities proposed.		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant provides limited justification assisting households to become stably housed and effectively carrying out the activities proposed..		3	
No clear justification		0	
Timeliness			
Q10. Describe plan for prompt implementation of the project.			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates comprehensive plan to ensure prompt implementation.		5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant demonstrates limited plan for prompt implementation.		3	
No clear plan.		0	
Promoting Racial Equity and Inclusion			
Q11. Detail the applicant's strategy to identify and address racial disparities in program outcomes.			
	Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score

(Up to 10 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates significant efforts to identify and reduce disparities.	10	
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant demonstrates minimal efforts to identify and or reduce disparities.	5	
No clear strategy	0	
Q12. Detail the applicant's strategy to provide culturally appropriate services.		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 10 pts) Applicant clearly demonstrates significant strategy to provide culturally appropriate services.	10	
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant demonstrates minimal strategy to provide culturally appropriate services.	5	
No clear strategy	0	
Q13. Specify what anti-discrimination policies the organization will implement related to serving LGBTQ+ individuals		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant clearly specifies implementation or plan for implementation of anti-discrimination policies of LGBTQ+ individuals	5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant provided minimal specification on implementing anti-discrimination policies of LGBTQ+ individuals	3	
No clear implementation	0	
Q14. Describe how the applicant will integrate people with lived experience of homelessness into the design and delivery of services.		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 10 pts) Applicant clearly describes how persons with lived experiences of homelessness is integrated or will be integrated into the design and delivery of services	10	
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant partially describes how persons with lived experiences of homelessness is integrated into the design and delivery of services	5	
No clear description	0	
Coordination		
Q15. Detail the applicant's existing partnerships that will be used to integrate program participants into housing		
Distribution	Possible Score	Project Score
(Up to 5 pts) Applicant fully details existing partnerships that will be used to integrate program participants into housing	5	
(Up to 3 pts) Applicant partially details existing partnerships that will be used to integrate program participants into housing	3	
No clear details	0	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE		